

CY 2018 NGAC Self- Assessment

December 6, 2018 NGAC Meeting

What's Going Well

- Appreciation for the diversity of the NGAC
 - Rich discussions
 - Impactful work products
 - Perpetual knowledge transfer
 - Collaborative environment; results oriented
- In-person meetings provide good ROI, especially Shepherdstown
- Briefings from reps from government agencies useful
- Emerging tech briefings helpful
- Subcommittee work focused and effective
- Genuine interest and support from senior government leaders is meaningful

Areas for Improvement

- Extending Shepherdstown by just one day would add significant value
- Strong desire for feedback from FGDC & others regarding impact of NGAC advice, counsel, and work products
- Consider meeting outside of the DC metro area (Put the “N” in NGAC)
- Desire for more in-person subcommittee meeting time
- Better balance of *presentations versus discussions*
- Strong support for selection of subcommittee topic areas (relevancy)
- Consider adding a more junior member(s) for added perspective

Some Random Thoughts

- Survey the FGDC to solicit feedback on the NGAC's impact
- Consider unique composition of LAG as a model for other subcommittee work
- Frustration could grow if FGDC initiatives are not implemented and adequately funded
- Deep appreciation for learning from one another
- Renew our commitment to our one another and the mission by both *attending* the meetings (in full) and by *being present* at meetings